

1. INTERIOR ESTIMATE

Theorem 1. *Suppose that a smooth function $u : \mathbb{R} \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $u_t = u_{xx}$ and $|u(x, t)| \leq M$ for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, T)$ and some constant $M > 0$. Then, for $t > 0$ we have*

$$u_x^2 \leq \frac{t+1}{2t} M^2. \quad (1)$$

Proof. Given small $\epsilon > 0$, we define a cut-off function

$$\eta(x, t) = \max\{0, 1 - \frac{1}{6}\epsilon(x^2 + 2t)\}, \quad (2)$$

and define a test function

$$w(x, t) = \frac{t}{t+1}\eta^2 u_x^2 + (\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)u^2 - (\frac{1}{2} + 2\epsilon)M^2 - \epsilon t, \quad (3)$$

which satisfies $w(x, 0) < 0$.

We observe that w is smooth in the support $\text{supp}(\eta) = \{(x, t) : \eta(x, t) > 0\}$. We compute

$$w_t = (t+1)^{-2}\eta^2 u_x^2 + \frac{2t}{t+1}(\eta\eta_t u_x^2 + u_x u_{xt} \eta^2) + (1+4\epsilon)u u_t - \epsilon. \quad (4)$$

and

$$w_{xx} = \frac{2t}{t+1}(\eta\eta_{xx} u_x^2 + \eta_x^2 u_x^2 + 2\eta\eta_x u_x u_{xx} + u_x u_{xxx} \eta^2 + u_{xx}^2 \eta^2) + (1+4\epsilon)(u u_{xx} + u_x^2). \quad (5)$$

Thus, $(1+t)^{-2}\eta^2 \leq 1$ and $\eta_t = \eta_{xx}$ yields

$$w_t - w_{xx} \leq -\epsilon - 4\epsilon u_x^2 - \frac{2t}{t+1}(\eta_x^2 u_x^2 + 2\eta\eta_x u_x u_{xx} + u_{xx}^2 \eta^2) \quad (6)$$

$$< -4\epsilon u_x^2 - \frac{6t}{t+1}\eta_x^2 u_x^2 \leq -4\epsilon u_x^2 - 4\epsilon(\frac{1}{6}\epsilon x^2)u_x^2. \quad (7)$$

Since $\frac{1}{6}\epsilon x^2 \leq 1$ holds in $\text{supp}(\eta)$, $w_t < w_{xx}$ holds in $\text{supp}(\eta)$.

Now, we claim $w \leq 0$. If not, there exists a space-time point $(x_0, t_0) \in \text{supp}(\eta)$ with $t_0 > 0$ such that $w(x, t) < 0$ holds for all $t \in [0, t_0)$ and $w(x_0, t_0) = 0$. Then, we have a contradiction as follows.

$$0 \leq w_t(x_0, t_0) < w_{xx}(x_0, t_0) \leq 0. \quad (8)$$

Therefore, we have $w \leq 0$ in $\text{supp}(\eta)$, namely

$$\frac{t}{t+1}[1 - \frac{1}{6}\epsilon(x^2 + 2t)]^2 u_x^2 + (\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)u^2 - (\frac{1}{2} + 2\epsilon)M^2 - \epsilon t \leq 0 \quad (9)$$

holds if $x^2 + 2t \leq (6\epsilon)^{-1}$. By passing $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\frac{t}{t+1}u_x^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}(M^2 - u^2) \leq \frac{1}{2}M^2 \quad (10)$$

for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, T)$.

□

2. BARRIER

Theorem 2. *Let Ω be a bounded convex open set of \mathbb{R}^2 . Suppose that a smooth function u satisfies $u_t = \Delta u$ in \overline{Q}_T where $Q_T = \Omega \times [0, T)$, $u(x, 0) = g(x)$ for $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ where $g : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth, and $u(\sigma, t) = 0$ for $\sigma \in \partial\Omega$ and $t \in [0, T)$. Then, the following holds in \overline{Q}_T .*

$$\|\nabla u(\vec{x}, t)\| \leq \max_{\vec{x} \in \overline{\Omega}} \|\nabla g(\vec{x})\|. \quad (11)$$

Proof. Suppose that $\vec{0} \in \partial\Omega$ and $v(0) = -e_1$. We define an upper barrier $w = Kx_1$, where $K = \max \|\nabla g\|$, and consider $v = u - w$. Since $v \leq 0$ holds on $\partial_p Q_T$ and $v_t = v_{xx}$ in \overline{Q}_T , the maximum principle implies $v \leq 0$ in \overline{Q}_T . Hence

$$u_1(\vec{0}, t) = \lim_{x_1 \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x_1, 0, t) - u(0, 0, t)}{x_1} \leq \lim_{x_1 \rightarrow 0} \frac{Kx_1}{x_1} = K. \quad (12)$$

Similarly, we have $u_1 \geq K$ by using the lower barrier $-Kx_1$, and thus

$$\|\nabla u(\vec{0}, t)\| = \sqrt{|u_1(\vec{0}, t)|^2 + |u_2(\vec{0}, t)|^2} \leq |u_1(\vec{0}, t)| \leq K. \quad (13)$$

By rotating and shifting the coordinate system, we have $\|\nabla u(\sigma, t)\| \leq K$ for each $\sigma \in \partial\Omega$. Since $\|\nabla u(\vec{x}, 0)\| = \|\nabla g(\vec{x})\| \leq K$,

$$\|\nabla u\| \leq K = \max \|g(x, 0)\|, \quad (14)$$

holds on $\partial_p Q_T$.

Next, we define $v = \|\nabla u\|^2 - K^2$, which satisfies $v \leq 0$ on $\partial_p Q_T$. Moreover,

$$\Delta v = 2\|\nabla^2 u\|^2 + 2\langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta u \rangle \geq 2\langle \nabla u, \nabla u_t \rangle = v_t, \quad (15)$$

where $\|\nabla^2 u\|$ denotes the square root norm of the matrix $\nabla^2 u$, namely

$$\|\nabla^2 u\|^2 = \sum_{i,j} u_{ij}^2. \quad (16)$$

Therefore, the maximum principle implies the desired result $v \leq K^2$ in \overline{Q}_T .

□

3. BACKWARD UNIQUENESS

Theorem 3 (Hölder inequality). *Suppose the f^2 and g^2 are integrable functions on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, the following holds*

$$\int_{\Omega} f(\vec{x})g(\vec{x})d\vec{x} \leq \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} |f(\vec{x})|^2 d\vec{x}} \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} |g(\vec{x})|^2 d\vec{x}}. \quad (17)$$

Theorem 4. *Let $Q_T = [0, L] \times [0, T]$. u and v are solutions to the heat equation over Q_T . Suppose $u = v$ holds on $[0, L] \times \{T\}$ and $\{0, L\} \times [0, T]$. Then, $u = v$.*

Proof. We define $w = u - v$. Then, $w = 0$ on $\{0, L\} \times [0, T]$. We recall the energy

$$E(t) = \int_0^L w^2(x, t) dx. \quad (18)$$

Then,

$$E' = -2 \int_0^L w w_{xx} dx, \quad E'' = 4 \int_0^L w_{xx}^2 dx. \quad (19)$$

Hence, the Hölder inequality implies

$$E'^2 \geq EE''. \quad (20)$$

On the other hand, $E' \leq 0$ and $E(T) = 0$ implies that there exist some $\tilde{T} \in [0, T]$ such that $E(t) > 0$ for $t < \tilde{T}$ and $E(t) = 0$ for $t \geq \tilde{T}$. If $\tilde{T} = 0$ then $w = 0$. Hence, we may assume $\tilde{T} > 0$ towards a contradiction. Then, for $t \in [0, \tilde{T})$ we have

$$(\log E(t))'' = E^{-2}(E''E - E'^2) \geq 0. \quad (21)$$

Thus, we have

$$(\log E(t))' \geq (\log E(0))', \quad (22)$$

and thus

$$\log E(t) \geq \log E(0) + (\log E(0))'t. \quad (23)$$

Passing t to \tilde{T} yields a contradiction.

$$-\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow \tilde{T}} \log E(t) \geq \log E(0) + (\log E(0))'\tilde{T} \geq -C. \quad (24)$$

□

4. LI-YAU TYPE HARNACK INEQUALITY I

Theorem 5. $u : \mathbb{R} \times [-T, 0]$ is a positive smooth solution to the heat equation, and $u(x+L, t) = u(x, t)$ holds for some $L > 0$. Then, the following holds

$$u_t \geq -\frac{1}{2}(t+T)^{-1}. \quad (25)$$

In particular, if $-T = -\infty$ then $u_t \geq 0$.

Proof. Since u is positive, $v = \log u$ is a well-defined smooth function. In addition, v satisfies

$$v_t = v_{xx} + v_x^2. \quad (26)$$

Differentiating the equation twice yields

$$v_{txx} = v_{xxxx} + 2v_{xxx}v_x + 2v_{xx}^2. \quad (27)$$

Given $\epsilon > 0$, we define $w = v_{xx} + (\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)(t+T)^{-1}$ for $t > -T$. Then, the equation above implies

$$w_t = w_{xx} + 2w_xv_x + 2v_{xx}^2 - (\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)(t+T)^{-2}. \quad (28)$$

We claim that $w \geq 0$ for all $t > -T$. Since w is periodic and $\lim_{t \rightarrow -T} w(x, t) = +\infty$ holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, if $w < 0$ at some point then there exists some space-time point $(x_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times (-T, 0]$ such that $w(x_0, t_0) = 0$ and $w(x, t) > 0$ for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (-T, t_0)$. Therefore, at the minimum point (x_0, t_0) , we have

$$0 \geq 2v_{xx}^2(x_0, t_0) - (\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)(t_0 + T)^{-2}. \quad (29)$$

In addition, we have

$$0 = w(x_0, t_0) = v_{xx}(x_0, t_0) + (\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)(t_0 + T)^{-1}. \quad (30)$$

Combing them yields a contradiction.

$$0 \geq 2(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)^2(t_0 + T)^{-2} - (\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)(t_0 + T)^{-2} = 2\epsilon(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)(t_0 + T)^{-2} > 0. \quad (31)$$

Namely,

$$v_{xx} \geq -(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)(t+T)^{-1}, \quad (32)$$

holds for all $\epsilon > 0$. Hence, passing $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{u_t}{u} = v_t = v_{xx} + v_x^2 \geq v_{xx}$ yield the desired result. \square